

Original Article: The Effect of Intravenous Infusion of Magnesium Sulfate on Opioid Use to Reduce Pain After Laparotomy in Patients with a History of Radiotherapy

Farshad Mahdavi¹, Ali Reza Nasseri^{2,*}

¹Assistant Professor of Surgery, Tuberculosis and Lung Disease Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

²Assistant Professor of Radiotherapy, Tuberculosis and Lung Disease Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran



Citation F. Mahdavi, A.R. Nasseri*, The effect of intravenous infusion of magnesium sulfate on opioid use to reduce pain after laparotomy in patients with a history of radiotherapy. *GMJ Med.* 2021, 5(1):445-449.

<http://doi.org/10.22034/GJIM.2021.1.30>



Article info:

Received: 29 October 2021

Accepted: 16 December 2021

Available Online: 18 December 2021

Checked for Plagiarism: Yes,

Language Editor: Ermia Aghaie

Editor who Approved Publication:

Professor Dr. Ali Nokhodchi

Keywords:

Laparotomy, Radiotherapy, Pain, Magnesium Sulfate

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Due to the adverse effects of injectable opioids after surgery and the suggestions of many researchers to find a reliable way to replace opioid use and also the contradictory results of the most appropriate method of using magnesium sulfate to control and reduce postoperative pain, we decided to study the aim of the effect of intravenous infusion of magnesium sulfate on opioid use to reduce pain after laparotomy in patients with a history of radiotherapy.

Material and Methods: In this descriptive cross-sectional study, which was performed prospectively in laparotomy patients with a history of radiotherapy, 50 mg / kg bolus magnesium sulfate was injected before surgery; Then, after the end of surgery, 500 mg / hr of the same drug was injected. Pain intensity was assessed in patients in two different groups

Results: No statistically significant relationship was observed in the hemodynamic status of patients in both groups before, during and after surgery; The rate of drug use in the intervention group was associated with a decrease over time, while no significant reduction was seen in the control group. Also, no statistically significant relationship was observed between the two groups only in the second hour after surgery and in the other hours.

Conclusion: According to the results of the present study, it seems that this method of using magnesium sulfate, although it is effective in reducing the need for opioids in laparotomy patients with a history of radiotherapy, but can not reduce the need for opioids to zero.

*Corresponding Author: Ali Reza Nasseri (naseri.041.radiotherapy@gmail.com)

Introduction

Pain after major surgeries such as orthopedics, laparotomy, cardiovascular. As very severe pain has been reported by patients before surgery and most patients are stressed, afraid and afraid of how to control pain after major surgery. And if these pains are not well controlled after surgery, it will have a very negative effect on patient satisfaction [1]; Therefore, in relation to pain control and management after major surgery, physicians try different methods to find the best way to finally, in addition to reducing and managing postoperative pain, patient satisfaction, which is one of the principles of patient care [2,3]. Many methods are used by surgeons before, during, and after surgery to control and manage pain, none of which has been able to replace opioid pain control; The use of opioids in addition to complications such as gastrointestinal complications, dependence, distress and respiratory apnea can have very adverse effects on laparotomy surgery due to nausea and vomiting. And the patient may need surgery again in the short or long term [4,5]. Given that acute pain after laparotomy is considered as an unbearable pain for patients, management and control of postoperative pain with methods other than opioid use is very important due to their complications [6,7]; The use of non-opioid oral and injectable analgesics before and during surgery is one of the methods that has been confirmed in most studies with positive effects, but there is still a method that can stop or minimize the amount of opioid use after surgery. Not expressed by studies; Therefore, finding such a method has been recommended by many researchers. One of the methods that researchers have recommended to conduct research on its effects on reducing opioid use after surgery is the use of intravenous infusion of magnesium sulfate around surgery [1]. Magnesium is a calcium antagonist and its effects on analgesia and anesthesia have recently been considered; The use of this drug in most studies has been suggested by researchers for reasons such as reduced pain intensity in the early hours after surgery and insignificant effects on hemodynamics of patients during

surgery. However, there have been conflicting results in various studies on how to use this drug [8]. Due to the adverse effects of injectable opioids after surgery and the suggestions of many researchers to find a reliable way to replace opioid use and also the contradictory results of the most appropriate method of using magnesium sulfate to control and reduce postoperative pain, we decided to study The aim of the effect of intravenous infusion of magnesium sulfate on opioid use to reduce pain after laparotomy in patients with a history of radiotherapy.

Material and Methods

This study is a futuristic cross-sectional description that was conducted during 2019 (from the beginning to the end of 2019) in Imam Reza (AS) Hospital in Tabriz. 80 patients with inclusion criteria (age 20 to 60 years, SAS I-II class patients, candidate for thoracotomy, history of radiotherapy) and withdrawal (allergy to magnesium sulfate, liver and kidney failure, heart disease, duration of operation more than three hours) The four groups of 40 were divided into two groups of control and intervention by random and backward random block methods. After receiving the permits of the ethics committee number and referring to Imam Reza (AS) Hospital in Tabriz, in coordination with the hospital officials, sampling was done by available sampling method. After explaining the objectives of the project to the patients, the informed consent form was completed by the samples and the intervention was performed. The intervention was that half an hour before anesthesia, 50 mg / kg magnesium sulfate was injected into the patients as a bolus. After surgery and discharge from the recovery unit, the infusion of 500 mg / hr magnesium sulfate was continued for 24 hours after surgery. It should be noted that for the control group, the amount of drug received in the intervention group was normal saline, similar to the group receiving magnesium sulfate. Induction of anesthesia was performed with fentanyl (1.5 mg / kg), midazolam (0.01 kg / kg), propofol (4 mg / kg) and cis atracurium (0.1 mg / kg). TIVA anesthesia was continued with propofol and remifentanyl. At the end of the operation, muscle

relaxation was restored with neostigmine (0.05 mg / kg) and atropine (0.04 mg / kg). Hemodynamic status (heart rate, blood pressure and arterial oxygen saturation) of all patients were measured and recorded before, during and after surgery, and during the operation, a change in hemodynamic status of more than 20% removed patients from the study. Opioid use in terms of mg of pethidine was also recorded in recovery and in the second, sixth, twelfth and twenty-fourth hours after surgery. After confirming the ethics code from the ethics committee of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences ([IR.TBZMED.REC.1397.648](https://doi.org/10.30478/IR.TBZMED.REC.1397.648)), collecting data and entering them in version 19 of SPSS software, mean and standard deviation were

used for descriptive statistics, Mann-Whitney u test and Fisher's exact test to compare independent groups. P <0.05 was considered significant

Results

60% of patients were male and the rest were female. The mean age of patients in the intervention and control groups was 38.13 ± 52.43 and 13.14 ± 25.45 years, respectively. No statistically significant relationship was observed in the hemodynamic status of patients in both groups before, during and after surgery; The hemodynamic status of patients is given in Table 1.

Table 1: Hemodynamic status before, during and after surgery

Variable	P-Value	Groups	
		Control	Case
HR before surgery	0/76	77/20±8/54	77/75±7/69
HR during surgery	0/71	76/05±6/95	76/91±7/12
HR after surgery	0/71	83/20±8/91	82/5±7/89
SBP before surgery	0/28	123/50±9/28	121/12±10/53
SBP during surgery	0/30	121/62±11/53	119/25±11/09
SBP after surgery	0/26	129/50±10/78	127/00±8/97
DBP before surgery	0/21	70/92±2/11	72/61±2/37
DBP during surgery	0/29	70/16±2/24	71/59±2/90
DBP after surgery	0/32	12/68±2/09	69/54±3/27
SPO2 before surgery	0/92	96/72±4/08	96/62±4/88
SPO2 during surgery	0/93	98/22±3/92	98/50±4/28
SPO2 after surgery	0/52	97/32±0/72	97/62±0/62

The rate of drug use in the intervention group was associated with a decrease over time, while no significant reduction was seen in the control group. Also, no statistically significant

relationship was observed between the two groups only in the second hour after surgery and in the other hours. Opioid intake in mg of pethidine is shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Opioid intake in terms of mg of pethidine in the intervention and control groups

Variable	P value	Groups	
		Control	Case
Opioid intake in the second hour after surgery	0/23	36/87±41/21	27/50±24/43
Opioid intake in the sixth hour after surgery	0/001	40/62±38/68	14/37±12/51
Opioid intake at 12 hours after surgery	0/03	42/50±41/67	14/37±23/94
Opioid intake 24 hours after surgery	0/03	2/50±7/59	0/62±3/95

Discussion

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of intravenous infusion of magnesium sulfate on opioid use to reduce pain after laparotomy in

patients with a history of radiotherapy. The results of a part of the study related to the hemodynamic status of patients showed no difference between the intervention and control groups before, during and after surgery; In other

words, not all patients had a significant change in hemodynamic status. However, according to most studies, magnesium sulfate is the best choice in people who are not in a good condition because it has the least changes in hemodynamic status; However, in this study, not all patients underwent significant hemodynamic changes; The results of the present study are not consistent with similar studies in this field in terms of statistically significant differences between the two groups receiving magnesium sulfate and not receiving it [9,10].

Opioid use in the intervention group has been significantly reduced over time, which indicates the positive effects of magnesium sulfate; The results of the present study are consistent with similar studies. The researchers say that in most studies, magnesium sulfate had a positive effect on reducing the need for opioids after surgery, but in no study did the need for opioids reach zero [11]. In the second hour after surgery, no difference was observed between the intervention and control groups in terms of opioid intake, which is not consistent with similar studies. The researchers say that in the first two hours after surgery, due to the lack of effects of anesthesia drugs and the effects of magnesium sulfate, the pain intensity and consequently the amount of opioid received is the lowest, and then the need for opioids is higher. The present study is inconsistent [12,13]. On the other hand, in the sixth, twelfth and twenty-fourth hours after surgery, there was a statistically significant difference in opioid use between the control and intervention groups, which seems to be due to the analgesic effects of magnesium sulfate. The results of the present study are consistent with similar studies. One meta-analysis study found that magnesium sulfate could reduce the need for opioids in heart bypass surgery, but no study has reported zero [14]. Non-use of magnesium sulfate due to interference with neuromuscular relaxants during surgery is one of the weaknesses and limitations of the present study; Therefore, researchers recommend further studies to achieve the best way to use magnesium sulfate to achieve a suitable way to reduce the need for opioid after surgery to zero [15].

Conclusion

According to the results of the present study, it seems that this method of using magnesium sulfate, although it is effective in reducing the need for opioids in laparotomy patients with a history of radiotherapy, but can not reduce the need for opioids to zero.

References

- [1] P. Lirk, J.P. Rathmell, *Eur. J. Anaesthesiol.*, **2019**, *36*, 250–254 [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [2] S. Soghomonyan, N. Stoicea, G.S. Sandhu, J.J. Pasternak, S.D. Bergese, *Front. Surg.*, **2017**, *4*, 1–10 [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [3] F.H. Nielsen, *J. Inflamm. Res.*, **2018**, *11*, 25–34 [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [4] L.K. Dunn, M.E. Durieux, *Anesthesiology*, **2017**, *126*, 729–737 [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [5] S. Chowdhury, B. Chanda, *Science*, **2019**, *363*, 1278–1279 [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [6] Y.C. Chang, C.L. Liu, T.P. Liu, P.S. Yang, M.J. Chen, S.P. Cheng, *Pain Pract.*, **2017**, *17*, 336–343 [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [7] M.H. Kim, K.Y. Lee, S. Park, S.I. Kim, H.S. Park, Y.C. Yoo, *PLoS One*, **2017**, *12*, e0173026 [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [8] T.C.M. Couceiro, L.C. Lima, L.M.C. Burle, M.M. Valença, *Rev. Bras. Anesthesiol.*, **2015**, *65*, 207–212 [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [9] S. Weibel, Y. Jelting, N.L. Pace, A. Helf, L.H. Eberhart, K. Hahnenkamp, M.W. Hollmann, D.M. Poepping, A. Schnabel, P. Kranke, *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.*, **2018**, *6*, CD009642 [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [10] M.L.J. Ho, S.J. Kerr, J. Stevens, *Korean J. Anesthesiol.*, **2018**, *71*, 57–65 [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [11] G. Dewinter, P. Moens, S. Fieuw, B. Vanaudenaerde, M. Van de Velde, S. Rex, *Br. J. Anaesth.*, **2017**, *118*, 576–585 [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [12] J. Miró, E. Castarlenas, R. de la Vega, E. Solé C. Tomé-Pires, M.P. Jensen, J.M. Engel, M. Racine, *Eur. J. Pain*, **2016**, *20*, 130–137 [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]

- [13] F.T. Mendonca, M.C. Reis, J.A. Aguiar, L.A. Calvano, *J. Anesth. Intensive Care Med.*, **2015**, *1*, 01-08 [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [14] N. Rawal, *Eur. J. Anaesthesiol.*, **2016**, *33*, 160-171 [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [15] H.A. Ashmawi, G.M.G. Freire, *Rev. Dor.*, **2016**, *17*, 31-34 [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]

Copyright © 2021 by SPC ([Sami Publishing Company](#)) + is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License(CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.